

Comparison: BOI FreeDa vs. MS Access

Criteria	BOI FreeDa	Microsoft Access
→ Time-to-value	Can be introduced within a few weeks; no definition of masks required	Initial input masks and applications can be created quickly, but they lack resilience as complexity increases
→ Technology	Web application with centralized access, accessible at any time via a browser	Desktop database (local or shared on the network)
→ Multi-user operation	Proven architecture for high user volumes (100+ concurrent users)	Limited functionality; ideal for individual users or small teams (up to 10 concurrent users); performance issues with many concurrent users
→ Scalability & performance	Stable architecture for small to large datasets: from 1 to 10,000+ tables	Suitable for small amounts of data; unstable with larger data loads
→ Audit trail & compliance	Out of the box: audit trail, versioning, traceable changes including user and timestamps	No built-in versioning or audit trails; audit-proof extensions must be programmed manually
→ Governance & roles	Fine-grained role and rights management	Limited user and rights management; no granular role-based control
→ Maintenance processes	Standardized 2-, 4-, and 6-eye processes, including change view	Custom forms; validation rules and approvals must be implemented manually
→ Database connection	Supports all major relational databases	MS Access databases are often island solutions; integration with other RDBMS is limited
→ APIs & integration	Standardized interfaces, such as REST APIs, for cross-platform automated processes	Interfaces can only be used to a limited extent and require additional effort; designed for desktop applications

Comparison: BOI FreeDa vs. MS Access

→ Business operation	On-premises and cloud-ready; Docker-based	local or shared as a file; no professional lifecycle management; no centralized maintenance
→ Flexibility	High configurability, extensibility via APIs, and standardized modules	Maximum flexibility for small-scale scenarios, but no sustainable governance or scalability
→ Integration	Standardized APIs and interfaces for integration into business processes	Integration with other systems is complex; typically done via ODBC or manual processes
→ Usability	Modern web interface designed specifically for table maintenance without requiring database knowledge; no maintenance masks required	Familiar MS Office interface, easy input mask creation, but limited usability and difficult in meeting modern requirements (e.g. accessibility)
→ Security	Company-wide security mechanisms, logging, and access control	Local file or network security; no continuing security strategies